



1. Has the Act reduced or stopped change or suppression practices? Describe any impact you think the Act has had on the occurrence or the nature of change or suppression practices.

I do not understand whether ordinary religious teaching, requested prayer, pastoral care, support for celibacy and even parental conversations are lawful. This uncertainty has created fear, confusion, and self-censorship in churches and families.

I feel very strongly that Christians are being persecuted whilst Moslems have the freedom to do and say as they please even as far as preaching hate against non Moslems. We need clarity that Christians are safe and the persecution will stop we all have a right to freedom of religion and freedom of speech but the government over reach is unconstitutional and out of control.

2. To what extent do you think the community is aware of and understands: a. the Act and how it works b. what change or suppression practices are and c. the harm caused by change or suppression practices

We do not understand whether ordinary religious teaching, requested prayer, pastoral care, support for celibacy and even parental conversations are lawful. This uncertainty has created fear, confusion, and self-censorship in churches and families.

3. Could the Act's operation and effectiveness be improved? If so, how?

Freedom of faith speech and religious choice as is our God given right. Give us protection to do this without persecution or fear. Stop government over reach into private citizens personal lives. Get rid of Labour Liberal Greens and stop their over reach into private lives. Enough is enough.

4. How clear is the Act's definition of what is and what is not a change or suppression practice? If further clarity is needed, what forms of clarification would be most helpful?

We the people and I do not understand whether ordinary religious teaching, requested prayer, pastoral care, support for celibacy and even parental conversations are lawful. This uncertainty has created fear, confusion, and self-censorship in churches and families.

5. How clear is the exclusion for health service providers? If further clarity is needed, how could this best be achieved?

Need more clarity

6. Is greater clarity needed about how people of faith can hold and express their beliefs to support clear understanding and compliance with the Act? What forms of clarification would be most helpful?

Yes

7. How effective are VEOHRC's awareness and education materials on change or suppression practices? What improvements, if any, could help strengthen community understanding and compliance?

What are Suppression Practices and why fo we need them?

8. Are there any barriers to:

- a. reporting change or suppression practices to VEOHRC
- b. VEOHRC facilitating outcomes of reports
- c. VEOHRC conducting investigations.

If so, please describe what those barriers are.

Not enough information sent to everyone it's hidden and you have to know about it to go looking for it. To much government control and over reach. To many new laws and no laws repealed.

9. Are there changes that could help support VEOHRC to carry out its functions or improve the effectiveness of the civil response scheme? If so, please describe any changes.

People need to receive an actual letter in the mail to know about these things that the government forces on us without our consent

10. Are there barriers to reporting, investigating and prosecuting criminal change or suppression offences? If so, what are they?

Yes where to start and why

11. Are there other aspects of the criminal offences in the Act that limit their effective operation? If so, what changes or supports could improve their operation?

I do not understand whether ordinary religious teaching, requested prayer, pastoral care, support for celibacy and even parental conversations are lawful. This uncertainty has created fear, confusion, and self-censorship in churches and families.

12. Do existing avenues for redress adequately meet the needs of victim-survivors of change or suppression practices? Are there gaps, harms or barriers that require an additional or separate redress mechanism?

Don't know need more information

13. Should a civil cause of action be introduced under the Act? What distinct purpose would it serve compared to existing pathways?

Don't know need more information

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]